

F-PES
↓
Covance
↓
Inv.
mts.

Osborne, Kevin (PMMC Legal)

From: Osborne, Kevin (PMMC Legal)
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2002 12:34 PM
To: Sarkar, Mohamadi
Cc: Nelson, Chris
Subject: RE: URGENT RESPONSE NEEDED PLEASE

Mohamadi:

First, it's nice to "meet" you, albeit electronically. Welcome.

I don't have the draft presentation in front of me, but from my perspective your proposal makes sense given the audience with the following points. One potential issue is that slides (or individual pages of a document) could become separated and then taken out of context; however, an appropriate legend / footer w/ , e.g., "x pg. out of y pp." and the name of the presentation (to enable the separated pg. to be reunited with others) helps in that regard. Also, obviously the proposed explanatory slide should only relate to PM USA studies and not to referenced published studies of third parties.

Pls. let us know if you'd like to discuss.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sarkar, Mohamadi
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2002 12:09 PM
To: Nelson, Chris; Osborne, Kevin (PMMC Legal)
Subject: URGENT RESPONSE NEEDED PLEASE

Chris and/or Kevin:

I just got back your comments about the Investigators meeting presentation for the Total Exposure Study. I was wondering whether it would be sufficient to put up a slide upfront clearly stating that all the data reported for smokers and nonsmokers were obtained from adults over the age of 21?

Clearly it is always understood in our end of the business that unless stated otherwise the studies were conducted on adult individuals over the age of 21. I know that I am the "new kid on the block" but nevertheless I believe that the audience that this presentation is geared for will primarily consist of clinicians and other clinical pharmacologists and would like to hear your opinions regarding my comment.

Many thanks

Mohamadi A. Sarkar, M.Pharm., Ph.D.
Director Clinical Pharmacology

for the File

8/27/2002

PM3006633592